



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/056,019	04/07/1998	ELAINE I. TUOMANEN	44158/207989(5853-2)	4774

29312 7590  
ALSTON AND BIRD LLP  
ST. JUDE CHILDREN'S RESEARCH HOSPITAL  
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA  
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000  
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000

EXAMINER	ALLEN, MARIANNE P
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1631	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2003

UO

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/056,019	TUOMANEN ET AL
Examiner	Art Unit
Marianne P. Allen	1631

Status of Application: after final rejection

### All Participants:

(1) Marianne P. Allen.

(3) Kelly Williamson.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2003

Time: 8:00 AM

### Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

### Part II.


#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Clarified inconsistency on Advisory Action (Paper No. 39). The amendment after final rejection (Paper No. 38) has not been entered and will not be entered upon filing a Notice of Appeal. The Advisory Action incorrectly had box 7(b) checked rather than box 7(a). Box 2 indicates that the amendment was not entered. .